

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008) 4495–4500

DISCRETE
MATHEMATICSwww.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Covering the n -space by convex bodies and its chromatic number

Z. Füredi^{a, b, 1}, J.-H. Kang^a^a*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA*^b*Rényi Institute of Mathematics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 127, Budapest 1364, Hungary*

Received 29 April 2004; accepted 8 August 2007

Available online 20 December 2007

Dedicated to Miklós Simonovits on his 60th birthday

Abstract

Rogers [A note on coverings, *Matematika* 4 (1957) 1–6] proved, for a given closed convex body C in n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , the existence of a covering for \mathbb{R}^n by translates of C with density $cn \ln n$ for an absolute constant c . A few years later, Erdős and Rogers [Covering space with convex bodies, *Acta Arith.* 7 (1962) 281–285] obtained the existence of such a covering having not only low-density $cn \ln n$ but also low multiplicity $c'n \ln n$ for an absolute constant c' . In this paper, we give a simple proof of Erdős and Rogers' theorem using the Lovász Local Lemma. Furthermore, we apply the result to the chromatic number of the unit-distance graph under ℓ_p -norm.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Convex body; Rogers; Lovász Local Lemma; Covering; Chromatic number of the unit-distance graph

1. Introduction

For a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and for a collection $\mathcal{C} := \{C_1, C_2, \dots\}$ of convex bodies C_i which covers D , i.e., $\bigcup_i C_i \supset D$, the *density* of the collection \mathcal{C} with respect to D is defined as

$$d(\mathcal{C}, D) = \frac{\sum_i \text{Vol}(C_i)}{\text{Vol}(D)},$$

where $\text{Vol}(\cdot)$ is the Euclidean volume of a body and the sum is taken over all i for which $C_i \cap D \neq \emptyset$. For the whole space, we define

$$\bar{d}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^n) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} d(\mathcal{C}, B(r, o)),$$

$$\underline{d}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^n) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} d(\mathcal{C}, B(r, o)),$$

where $B(r, x)$ is a *ball* with radius r in \mathbb{R}^n with center x , and o is the origin in \mathbb{R}^n . If these two numbers are the same, then their common value is called the *density* of the collection \mathcal{C} in \mathbb{R}^n , and is denoted by $d(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. As usual, *body* means a bounded set with positive volume.

E-mail addresses: z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu, furedi@renyi.hu (Z. Füredi), j-kang5@math.uiuc.edu (J.-H. Kang).

¹Research supported in part by a Hungarian National Science Foundation Grant OTKA T 032452, and by a National Science Foundation Grant DMS 0140692.

In 1957, Rogers [14] proved that, for a given closed convex body C in n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and for $n \geq 3$, there is a covering for \mathbb{R}^n by translates of C with density at most $cn \ln n$ for an absolute constant c . However, low density does not imply low *multiplicity*, the number of copies of $C \in \mathcal{C}$ containing each point, of the covering. Even though the global density of the covering is low, there can exist local clusters of high multiplicity. Even a partition of the space like the collection of unit cubes of \mathbb{R}^n has the optimal density of 1 but the multiplicity can go up to 2^n at the vertices of the cubes. In 1962, Erdős and Rogers [4] showed that, for sufficiently large n , there is a covering for \mathbb{R}^n by translates of C having not only density at most $cn \ln n$ but also multiplicity at most $c'n \ln n$ for an absolute constant c' . Their proof is clever but technical. In this paper, we give a combinatorial proof using Lovász Local Lemma.

Theorem 1. *For a given convex body C in the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , there is a covering for \mathbb{R}^n by translates of C such that each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is covered at most $10n \ln n$ times for sufficiently large n .*

Along with our main result, we have included in this article an upper bound on the chromatic number of the unit-distance graph under ℓ_p -norm as an application of Theorem 1.

2. Tools of proof

2.1. Large inscribed ball/ellipse

It was proved by Ball [3] (and see [2] for the symmetric case) that every convex body $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has an affine image $\widehat{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the following two conditions (A1) and (A2):

(A1) $\text{Vol}(\widehat{C}) = 1$,

(A2) \widehat{C} has an inscribed ball B of radius r at least as large as the inscribed radius of the regular simplex of volume 1. Thus,

$$r \geq \left(\frac{n!}{n^{n/2}(n+1)^{(n+1/2)}} \right)^{1/n} > \frac{1}{e}.$$

Let us remark that instead of the deep theorem of Ball, one can start with the classical result of John [9] that there exists a ball B such that $B \subset \widehat{C} \subset nB$. Since $\text{Vol}(nB) \geq 1$, this implies a lower bound $r > 1/O(\sqrt{n})$, which would be sufficient for our arguments below.

2.2. Minkowski sum

As usual $C + D$ means the sum of the bodies C and D , $C + D := \{x + y : x \in C, y \in D\}$, and hC means $\{hx : x \in C\}$. The ε -neighborhood of C , $C^{+\varepsilon}$, is $C + B(\varepsilon, o)$. Here $\varepsilon \geq 0$. We define the *inner* ε -core, $C^{-\varepsilon}$, as $R^n \setminus (R^n \setminus C)^{+\varepsilon}$. We have

$$C^{+\varepsilon} := \cup \{B(\varepsilon, x) : x \in C\} \quad \text{and} \quad C^{-\varepsilon} := \{x : B(\varepsilon, x) \subset C\}.$$

Lemma 1. *Suppose that the convex body C contains the ball $B(r, o)$. Then the expansion $(1 + \varepsilon/r)C$ contains the ε -neighborhood $C^{+\varepsilon}$. On the other hand, the contraction $(1 - \varepsilon/r)C$ is contained in $C^{-\varepsilon}$. See Fig. 1.*


Proof. We use the fact that $(a + b)C = aC + bC$ for any convex set and non-negative reals a and b . Then,

$$\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{r}\right)C = C + \frac{\varepsilon}{r}C \supseteq C + \frac{\varepsilon}{r}B(r, o) = C^{+\varepsilon}.$$

Similarly,

$$\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{r}\right)C + B(\varepsilon, o) \subseteq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{r}\right)C + \frac{\varepsilon}{r}C = C,$$

hence $(1 - \varepsilon/r)C \subseteq C^{-\varepsilon}$. \square

Fig. 1. $(1 - \epsilon/r)C \subset C^{-\epsilon}$, $(1 + \epsilon/r)C \subset C^{+\epsilon}$.

2.3. The Lovász Local Lemma

We follow the description from the monograph of Alon and Spencer [1].

Lemma 2. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N be events in an arbitrary probability space. A directed graph $D = (V, E)$ on the set of vertices $V = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ is called a *dependency digraph* for the events A_1, \dots, A_N if for each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, the event A_i is mutually independent of all the events $\{A_j : (i, j) \notin E\}$. Suppose that the maximum degree of D is at most d , and that $\text{Prob}(A_i) \leq p$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. If $ep(d+1) \leq 1$, then $\text{Prob}(\bigcap_{i=1}^N \overline{A_i}) > 0$, i.e., with positive probability no event A_i holds.

2.4. The volume of the difference body

For any convex set containing the center o the *difference body* $C - C$ is a centrally symmetric convex set containing it.

Lemma 3 (Rogers and Shephard [15]). Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex body. Then $\text{Vol}(C - C) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \text{Vol}(C)$, with equality, if and only if C is a simplex.

3. Proof

As a covering and an affine image of it have the same multiplicities, we can construct an appropriate covering by using any affine image of C . So, we may assume that C itself possesses the properties (A1) and (A2). For simplicity, we also assume that the ball B of (A2) is $B(r, o)$.

Let h be a small positive real number, we will use $h := 1/(4en\sqrt{n})$. Consider the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n := \{(hm_1, \dots, hm_n) : m_1, \dots, m_n \text{ are integers}\}$. We are going to construct a cover using only translates of C of the form $C + z$, $z \in h\mathbb{Z}^n$. Define Q_0 as the half closed, half open basis cube of this lattice:

$$Q_0 := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : 0 \leq x_i < h \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Then the translations of the form $Q_0 + z$ with $z \in h\mathbb{Z}^n$ define a partition \mathcal{A} of \mathbb{R}^n . For $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ with $Q = Q_0 + z$, denote the translate $C + z$ by $C(Q)$.

We define a hypergraph \mathcal{H} whose vertex set consists of all the cubes of \mathcal{A} and whose edge set has two kinds of hyperedges induced by each $C(Q)$ as follows: $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ form a “small edge” of $C(Q)$, denoted by $e(C(Q))$ or $e(Q)$, if Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots lie in $C(Q)$; $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ form a “big edge” of $C(Q)$, denoted by $E(C(Q))$ or $E(Q)$, if Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots intersect $C(Q)$. Clearly, all the “small edges” have the same size, and so do all the “big edges”; their sizes are denoted by k and K , respectively.

Since $\text{Vol}(C)/\text{Vol}(Q)=1/h^n$, we have $K \geq 1/h^n \geq k$. The diameter of Q is $h\sqrt{n}$, so $C^{-h\sqrt{n}} \subset e(Q_0)$, and $E(Q_0) \subset C^{+h\sqrt{n}}$. Apply Lemma 1 to C with $\varepsilon := h\sqrt{n}$:

$$\begin{aligned} k &\geq \frac{\text{Vol}(C^{-h\sqrt{n}})}{\text{Vol}(Q)} \geq \frac{\text{Vol}((1-r^{-1}h\sqrt{n})C)}{\text{Vol}(Q)} \\ &> \frac{(1-eh\sqrt{n})^n}{h^n} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4n}\right)^n \frac{1}{h^n} > .75 \frac{1}{h^n}, \\ K &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(C^{+h\sqrt{n}})}{\text{Vol}(Q)} \leq \frac{\text{Vol}((1+r^{-1}h\sqrt{n})C)}{\text{Vol}(Q)} \\ &< \frac{(1+eh\sqrt{n})^n}{h^n} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n}\right)^n \frac{1}{h^n} < e^{1/4} \frac{1}{h^n}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In particular, we have

$$k > \frac{1}{2}K. \quad (2)$$

Let ℓ be a positive integer and let $N := (2\ell)^n$ and consider the set $A_N := \{Q : Q = Q_0 + hz \text{ with } z \in \mathbb{Z}^n, -\ell \leq z_i < \ell \text{ for all coordinates of } z\}$. Let \mathcal{H}_N be the set of hyperedges of \mathcal{H} containing any member of A_N , and let \mathcal{C}_N be the translates of C (of the forms $C + hz$, $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$) generating \mathcal{H}_N . Note that $|\mathcal{C}_N|$ (in general) is larger than N , but, obviously, it is finite. Any subcollection $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}_N$ generates a subhypergraph of \mathcal{H}_N , denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$, in a natural way, namely the small and big edges of \mathcal{H}_N generated by the members of \mathcal{C} .

To prove Theorem 1, we show that, for every N , there is a collection $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}_N$ and hence a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that each cube $Q \in A_N$ is covered by a “small edge” of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$ but *not* covered by too many “big edges” of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$, say not covered more than t times where $t = 10n \ln n$. Having such a cover of A_N for every $N = (2\ell)^n$, one can easily construct an appropriate infinite cover of \mathbb{R}^n by letting $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ and using a standard compactness argument.

To construct such a cover of A_N , we consider a random subcollection \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{C}_N choosing its members randomly, independently with probability p . The value of p we use is $e^{-6/5}t/K$. To apply Lovász Local Lemma, for each cube $Q \in A_N$, let A_Q be the (first kind of bad) event that Q is not covered by any “small edge” of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$, and let B_Q be the (second kind of bad) event that Q is covered by “big edges” more than t times. Since every $Q \in A_N$ is covered by exactly k small edges and K big edges of \mathcal{H}_N , it is immediate that

$$\text{Prob}(A_Q) \leq (1-p)^k \leq e^{-pk}$$

and

$$\text{Prob}(B_Q) \leq \binom{K}{t} p^t \leq \left(\frac{eKp}{t}\right)^t,$$

where $T := \lfloor t \rfloor + 1$. Furthermore, let d be the maximum degree in the dependency graph of the bad events. If we have

$$e \left(e^{-pk} + \left(\frac{eKp}{t} \right)^t \right) (d+1) < 1, \quad (3)$$

then, by the Local Lemma, there is a covering for A_N by members of \mathcal{C}_N having multiplicity less than t .

To bound d , for a given $Q \in A_N$, observe that the event $A_Q \cup B_Q$ is dependent on the other event $A_{Q'} \cup B_{Q'}$ only if there is a translate $C'' \in \mathcal{C}_N$ meeting both cubes Q and Q' . That is, there are $x, x' \in Q_0$ and $z, z', z'' \in h\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $Q = Q_0 + z$, $Q' = Q_0 + z'$, $C'' = C + z''$, $z+x \in C+z''$ and $z'+x' \in C+z''$. Thus $(z+x-z'') - (z'+x'-z'') \in C-C$, $(z-z') \in (C-C) + (x'-x)$. Since $|x'-x|$ is at most $h\sqrt{n}$, the degree $d+1$ is bounded by the number of lattice points $z-z'$ contained in $(C-C)^{+h\sqrt{n}}$. If we put a translation of Q_0 with these $z \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \cap (C-C)^{+h\sqrt{n}}$, then these cubes have disjoint interiors and are contained in the $2h\sqrt{n}$ neighborhood of $C-C$. See Fig. 2. (Actually, one can consider cubes with these *centers* and get a slightly better bound, but we do not need that.) Thus we get an upper bound

The present authors [10,6] examined the chromatic number of G , and proved a lower bound of $(1.067)^n$ and two upper bounds $\sqrt{p/(2\pi n)}(5(ep)^{1/p})^n$ and 9^n . We apply Theorem 1 above to obtain an improved upper bound in a more general form.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space, $n \geq 2$. Let $G(\mathcal{N})$ denote the unit-distance graph in this normed space. Then for the chromatic number we have $\chi(G(\mathcal{N})) \leq c(n \ln n)5^n$ for large n .

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a covering for \mathbb{R}^n by translates of $C := B_{\mathcal{N}}(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)$ with multiplicity $c(n \ln n)$ where ε is a very small positive real number, and $B(r)$ is the ball with radius r centered at o in \mathbb{R}^n with norm \mathcal{N} .

Define an auxiliary graph H such that

$$\begin{aligned} V(H) &= \mathcal{C} \text{ and for } C + \vec{a}, C + \vec{b} \in \mathcal{C}, \\ (C + \vec{a}, C + \vec{b}) &\in E(H) \text{ if and only if there are } \vec{x} \in C + \vec{a}, \vec{y} \in C + \vec{b} \text{ such that } \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|_{\mathcal{N}} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

It is easy to see that a proper coloring of H gives a proper coloring of $G(\mathcal{N})$; hence $\chi(G(\mathcal{N})) \leq \chi(H)$. We will bound $\chi(H)$ from above by its maximum degree.

Observe that $(C + \vec{a}, C + \vec{b}) \in E(H)$ implies that $\|\vec{a} - \vec{b}\|_{\mathcal{N}} \leq \|\vec{a} - \vec{x}\|_{\mathcal{N}} + \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|_{\mathcal{N}} + \|\vec{y} - \vec{b}\|_{\mathcal{N}} < 2$. So it is enough to count the number, say m , of the copies of $C \in \mathcal{C}$ with $B(\frac{5}{2} - \varepsilon) \cap C \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 1, it is immediate that

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq c(n \ln n) \frac{\text{Vol}(B(5/2 - \varepsilon))}{\text{Vol}(B(1/2 - \varepsilon))} \\ &\leq c(n \ln n)5^n. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For more results on different kinds of proximity graphs of higher dimensions see Füredi and Loeb [7,5] or Guibas et al. [8] which are good sources of additional references.

Acknowledgments

The research of J.-H. Kang was done while visiting Rényi Institute, Budapest, and as a part of her thesis under the guidance of Prof. Z. Füredi at the University of Illinois. She thanks the people of Rényi Institute for their hospitality.

References

- [1] N. Alon, J.H. Spencer, The Probabilistic Method, second ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000.
- [2] K. Ball, Volumes of sections of cubes and related problems, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, 1987–1988, pp. 251–260, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1376, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [3] K. Ball, Volume ratios and a reverse isoperimetric inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 44 (1991) 351–359.
- [4] P. Erdős, C. Rogers, Covering space with convex bodies, Acta Arith. 7 (1962) 281–285.
- [5] Z. Füredi, The expected size of a random sphere-of-influence graph, in: I. Bárány et al. (Eds.), Intuitive Geometry Proceedings Colloquium, Budapest, Hungary, 1995, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, vol. 6, Budapest, Hungary, 1997, pp. 319–326.
- [6] Z. Füredi, J.-H. Kang, Distance graph on \mathbb{Z}^n with ℓ_1 -norm, Theoret. Comput. Sci. (special issue on combinatorics of the discrete plane and tilings) (2004), to appear.
- [7] Z. Füredi, P.A. Loeb, On the best constant for the Besicovitch covering theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994) 1063–1073.
- [8] L. Guibas, J. Pach, M. Sharir, Sphere-of-influence graphs in higher dimensions, in: K. Böröczky, G. Fejes Tóth (Eds.), Intuitive Geometry, Proc. Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai, vol. 63, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1994, pp. 131–137.
- [9] F. John, Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions, in: Courant Anniversary Volume, New York, 1948, pp. 187–204.
- [10] J.-H. Kang, Coloring of metric spaces and $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2004.
- [14] C. Rogers, A note on coverings, Matematika 4 (1957) 1–6.
- [15] C. Rogers, G. Shephard, The difference body of convex body, Arch. Math. 8 (1957) 220–233.